

CHEMOTHERAPY

In 1971, President Richard Nixon declared War on Cancer. The chief weapon wielded in this new war was chemotherapy.

It is now 2004 and it is time to end this senseless war. The war is lost. It is a complete and utter failure with our weapon of choice killing little cancer with the hapless patient being the chief casualty in this war.

In 1972, according to the American Cancer Societies own figures, 33% of cancers had a five year survival rate. We should also point out that at that same time 33% of cancers went away on their own.

Today, according to the ACS, the five year survival rate for cancer has risen to 40%.

However, what they do not tell you is that

1. The statistics are invalid because they combine data of both local and metastasized cancers; and that the comparisons are not randomized [Ulrich Abel, Advanced Epithelial Cancer", 1990 (no longer in print)]
2. Cancers not factored into the original statistics are now factored in, such as skin cancers, many of which are not fatal and that the statistics are purposely inflated by including people with benign cancers.
3. Technology has helped us to find cancers earlier, thus the survival time from diagnosis to eventual death has lengthened.

The war is lost. To the victors go the spoils. Somewhere there are yachts and million dollar homes purchased with the eighty plus billion spent on this war, but there is no cure.

According to [Frank Wiewel](#), there are doctors today who make 1.5 million dollars just selling chemotherapy.

In 1988, Cecil's Textbook of Medicine listed the most common cancers that responded well to chemotherapy:

Type of Cancer	Percent 5 Year Disease-free Survival
Choriocarcinoma (low-risk patients)	90
Burkitt's Lymphoma (Stage I)	90
Acute lymphocytic leukemia	60
Hodgkin's disease (stage III and IV)	60
Diffuse histiocytic lymphoma	70
Nodular mixed lymphoma	75
Testicular carcinoma (stage II-III)	70-90
Childhood sarcomas (w/ radiation & surgery)	70-90
Childhood lymphomas	75

Since then, some of these figures have improved. In fact, seminoma (testicular carcinoma originally, but now cancer of the seminal glands, hence seminoma), the one that Lance Armstrong beat, has an estimated 95% survival rate. Lance Armstrong is the greatest promotion the Pharmaceutical Industry has ever enjoyed.

However, and this is an important HOWEVER, Dr Ulrich Abel, who poured over thousands and thousands of cancer studies, published his shocking report in 1990 stating quite succinctly that chemotherapy has done nothing for 80% of all cancers; that 80% of chemotherapy administered was absolutely worthless.

The Emperor has no clothes.

Ulrich Abel was a German epidemiologist and biostatistician. In the eighties, he contacted over 350 medical centers around the world requesting them to furnish him with anything they had published on the subject of cancer. By the time he published his report and subsequent book (*Advanced Epithelial Cancer*) he knew more about chemotherapy than any person in existence.

His report, published in *Lancet*, August of 1991, described chemotherapy as a “scientific wasteland” and that neither physician nor patient were willing to give it up even though there was no scientific evidence that it worked.

Success of most chemotherapies is appalling...There is no scientific evidence for its ability to extend in any appreciable way the lives of patients suffering from the most common organic cancer... Chemotherapy for malignancies too advanced for surgery, which accounts for 80% of all cancers, is a scientific wasteland [Dr Uhrlrich Abel, Stuttgart, 1990]

Dr Abel was immediately attacked by the cancer industry. They could not attack his science, so they attacked his character. This is a common tactic when the accused has science on his/her side.

Fact

If a person dies during a chemotherapy study, that information is NOT included in the write up because the patient did NOT complete the study.

Not Only is Chemo Ineffective...

By shrinking tumors, chemotherapy encourages stronger cancer cells to grow and multiply and become chemo resistant.

Then there are the new cancers caused by chemotherapy, or secondary cancers. This quaint side effect is often overlooked in the lists of side effects in a drug's accompanying literature, though you can find this information quite easily at the National Cancer Institute.

We pride ourselves in America for being technologically advanced and that our technology is rooted in a foundation of good science.

Wrong. When it comes to medicine, little at all is based upon science. Again we shall point to the Office of Technological Assessment's paper: [Assessing the Efficacy and Safety of Medical Technologies](#) in which we are told that fewer than 20% of all

medical procedures have been tested, and that of those tested, half were tested badly.

Medicine in America is not about healing.

When you are diagnosed with cancer, you are suddenly worth \$300,000.00 to the cancer industry.

Most telling, according to Ralph Moss in his book [Questioning Chemotherapy](#), is that in a good number of surveys, chemotherapists have responded that they would neither recommend chemotherapy for their families nor would they use it themselves. One of our advisors, Dr Dan Harper, reported to us about an unpublished cohort study in which it was revealed that only 9% of oncologists took chemotherapy for their cancers.

Let's hear from a couple of physicians and doctors who have not yet succumb to the heavy hand of the cancer industry:

"...as a chemist trained to interpret data, it is incomprehensible to me that physicians can ignore the clear evidence that chemotherapy does much, much more harm than good." - Alan C Nixon, PhD, former president of the American Chemical Society.

Walter Last, writing in *The Ecologist*, reported recently: "After analysing cancer survival statistics for several decades, Dr Hardin Jones, Professor at the University of California, concluded "...patients are as well, or better off untreated." Jones' disturbing assessment has never been refuted.

Professor Charles Mathe declared: "If I contracted cancer, I would never go to a standard cancer treatment centre. Cancer victims who live far from such centres have a chance."

"Many medical oncologists recommend chemotherapy for virtually any tumor, with a hopefulness undiscouraged by almost invariable failure," Albert Braverman MD 1991 *Lancet* 1991 337 p901 "Medical Oncology in the 90s.

"Most cancer patients in this country die of chemotherapy. Chemotherapy does not eliminate breast, colon, or lung cancers. This fact has been documented for over a decade, yet doctors still use chemotherapy for these tumors," Allen Levin, MD UCSF *The Healing of Cancer*.

"Despite widespread use of chemotherapies, breast cancer mortality has not changed in the last 70 years," Thomas Dao, MD *NEJM* Mar 1975 292 p 707.

Additionally, Irwin Bross, a biostatistician for the National Cancer Institute, discovered that many cancers that are benign (though thought to be malignant) and will not metastasize until they are hit with chemotherapy. In other words, he's found that many people who've been diagnosed with metastatic cancer did not have metastatic cancer until they got their chemotherapy.

For many cancers, chemotherapy just does not improve your survival rate. Some of these are colorectal, gastric, pancreatic, bladder, breast, ovarian, cervical and corpus uteri, head and neck.

Knowing this, oncologists still recommend a regimen of chemotherapy.

Here are two stories we received from Frank Wiewel:

When President Reagan had his colon cancer successfully removed by surgery, his health was reported daily as he recovered. On his return to work, a spokesperson appeared, proclaimed him cured, and that was that.

However, very nearly every patient who undergoes surgery for colon cancer gets put on chemotherapy afterwards. Why not Present Reagan?

Frank told us of [Dr Charles Simone](#), who today handles cancers with diet and lifestyle changes was hired on as the president's personal oncologist. The public never learned of this because the good doctor, was stuffed into a grocery truck and made his entrance into the white house through the backdoor where deliveries are made.

Frank also told us of a time he met with some of the top oncologists in the country. He had a lot of questions for them, one of them being: "Knowing the odds of successful outcome using chemotherapy are nil in many cancer cases, why do you continue to prescribe chemotherapy?"

The answer he got was this: "We give it to patients so they won't give up hope and fall into the hands of quacks."

Quacks? Implicit in the definition of quackery is the sale of worthless or dangerous nostrums for profit. Who exactly are the quacks here?

Just How Dangerous Is Chemotherapy?

This next piece is from the [University of Iowa's](#) web site:

Patients and caregivers shall be taught safe, proper, handling and disposal of waste generated during continuous infusions of chemotherapy.

The following procedures should be implemented immediately if a chemotherapy leak or spill should occur:

1. Put on a pair of disposable latex gloves.
2. If chemo has spilled on clothing, remove immediately and take a shower, scrubbing the exposed skin with soap and water. Watch for redness, blistering, or a burning sensation. Contact your nurse to report the spill. She will give you further instructions if necessary.
3. Remove any and all sharp objects, placing them into your sharps container or any can with a lid such as a coffee can.
4. Soak up the spill with an absorbent disposable material, such as paper towels.
5. Disinfect the spill area with soap and water or a household cleaner such as window cleaner, 409, alcohol, bleach, or liquid carpet cleaner.

6. Put the absorbent material and the gloves into a chemotherapy waste container or garbage bag and carefully mark it. It will be picked up later by the pharmacy personnel.
7. If a spill occurs on a patient's or caregiver's clothing or sheets, these articles should be washed separately from regular laundry in hot water.
8. If a spill occurs on unprotected furniture, the area should be scrubbed with soap and water and rinsed with clean water while wearing protective chemo safety gloves.
9. Patients and caregivers should be taught to use care when handling vomitus or excretions of the patient for 48 hours post treatment and to use good hand-washing technique.



We've lost the war on cancer. As an ex-soldier, I'm aware what is required to win any war. You need dedicated soldiers, well trained and capable. You need the proper weapons. And, unlike our war in Iraq, you need a plan.

The war on cancer used the wrong weapons and, sadly, its only plan was to profit. In this case, the war is very successful. Many have profited. In fact this is the first war in which the soldiers profited nearly as much as the arms manufacturers. The only casualties in this war are the patients, whose numbers grow daily.

Chemotherapy is not only deadly, in 80% of cancers it is fraud. Period.

To date, not a single non-toxic cancer drug has been approved by the FDA.
[UPDATE: Because the FDA and Texas Board of Medical Examiners were unable to shut down Dr Burzynski's office and end his research, the FDA was cornered, and consented to allowed Dr Burzynski to conduct Phase II Trials on his therapy, antineoplastons. A drug, Buphenyl, that Dr Burzynski developed that is non toxic and causes the liver to create antineoplastons, has been approved and is now available through prescription.]

As Frank Wiewel tells people, "Ask your oncologist for a guarantee. See what he says."

Considering the six minutes HMOs schedule you to meet with your physician along with the studies showing that your physician spends 1.3 minutes answering your questions, pondering your options will have to take place outside your doctor's office.

This is one reason why we publish articles like these. You need a second opinion.

References and Further Reading

[The Politics of Cancer](#)

[CHEMO'S "BERLIN WALL" CRUMBLES](#)

[Do Conventional Cancer Treatments Work](#)

[Death By Doctoring](#)

[Educate Yourself](#)

[Chemotherapy Report](#)

[Cancer Wars: How Politics Shapes What We Know and Don't Know About Cancer](#) by Robert N. Proctor (New York: Basic Books, 1995)

[World Without Cancer](#), by Edward G Griffin

Fifty Years of Folly and Fraud "In The Name of Science," by Irwin Bross (Available at [Civitas.](#))

Taken from <http://www.mnwelldir.org>